Certified Mail #9589 0710 5270 1743 4990 97

COURTESY CORRESPONDENCE & RECORD CLARIFICATION

Packet ID: LMT-RC-001

Date: 1-8-26

EXHIBIT A
Cover Letter to State-Designated Counsel
David Washington

Dear Mr. Washington,

I am writing in the spirit of courtesy, transparency, and professional respect following your designation as counsel. Appointments of this nature are often made with limited transfer of background materials, and out of good faith I wish to ensure you have full factual and procedural context.

Enclosed are sworn affidavits, prior correspondence with the Public Defender's Office, communications with Pretrial Services, and relevant procedural records. These are provided so that any actions taken going forward may be undertaken **knowingly**, with full visibility into the existing record rather than by administrative assumption.

This correspondence is non-adversarial and intended solely to support clarity, accuracy, and integrity of process. Thank you for your time and professional attention.

Respectfully,

R. Lindsey-Morgan;Taylor


9589 0710 5270 1743 4990 97
U.S. Postal Service™
CERTIFIED MAIL® RECEIPT
Domestic Mail Only
For delivery information, visit our website at www.usps.com®.
OFFICIAL USE
Certified Mail Fee
$
Extra Services & Fees (check box, add fee as appropriate)
Return Receipt (hardcopy) $
Return Receipt (electronic) $
Certified Mail Restricted Delivery $
Adult Signature Required $
Adult Signature Restricted Delivery $
Postage
$
Total Postage and Fees
$
Postmark Here
Sent To
Street and Apt. No., or PO Box No.
City, State, ZIP+4®
PS Form 3800, January 2023 PSN 7530-02-000-9047 See Reverse for Instructions
David Washington

EXHIBIT INDEX

Packet ID: LMT-RC-001

Date: 1-8-26

EXHIBIT A — Cover Letter to State-Designated Counsel (David Washington)

EXHIBIT B — Sworn Affidavits of R. Lindsey-Morgan;Taylor

EXHIBIT C — Correspondence with Public Defender's Office

EXHIBIT D — Communications with Pretrial Services

EXHIBIT E — Emergency Filing Following Second Enforcement Action (Dog-Related Citations)

EXHIBIT E1 — Procedural Filing: Motion to Quash Capias (with Proof of Email Transmission)

EXHIBIT F — Timeline Summary (Informational)

EXHIBIT G — Wayback Machine Publication Description (Public Archive)

All exhibits are provided as a professional courtesy to ensure shared facts, accurate history, and that any future actions may be undertaken **knowingly** and with full record awareness.

Certified Mail No. 9589 0710 5270 1180 82

Exhibit B

PRIVATE NOTICE AND AFFIDAVIT OF FACTS

Certified Mailing: Legal Record & Opportunity to Rebut

To: Detective Chris Gibson, Officer # 301 & Officer # 298, all unidentified officers involved, Hamilton City Police Department, Butler County Sheriff's Ofiice.

From: Lindsey-Morgan; Taylor, non-domestic, Affiant

Date: 25 June 2025

Subject: Uniform Affidavit of Events – November 7, 2023 Raid

Notice to Agent is Notice to Principal; Notice to Principal is Notice to Agent.

I, Lindsey-Morgan; Taylor, a living, breathing woman and lawful private trustee for the Lindsey Morgan Taylor Trust, hereby solemnly affirm, declare, and attest the following to be true, correct, and complete to the best of my knowledge:

1. That on or about November 7, 2023, armed agents, including Detective Chris Gibson, unlawfully entered private trust property without presenting a verified and lawful warrant, as required by the U.S. Constitution and the Ohio Revised Code.

2. That said, entry was conducted under the color of law and violated the Fourth Amendment protections against unreasonable searches and seizures, as well as Article I, Section 14 of the Ohio Constitution.

3. That no probable cause was independently verified by affidavit before the warrant's execution, nor was the warrant properly filed with the Clerk of Court before the raid, thereby voiding its validity under **Crim.R. 41(C)(1)** and **Franks v. Delaware, 438 U.S. 154 (1978)**.

4. That any evidence allegedly obtained as a result of that unlawful entry is inadmissible as "**fruit of the poisonous tree**" under the **exclusionary rule**

Certified Mail # 9589 0710 5270 0728 7158 96

B

Uniform Affidavit of Facts – Events of November 7, 2023

Affidavit of Lindsey-Morgan; Taylor, non-domestic

I, Lindsey-Morgan; Taylor, a living woman, competent to testify to the facts herein, do solemnly affirm under penalty of perjury that the following is true and correct to the best of my knowledge:

1. On the morning of November 7, 2023, I was present at my private dwelling located at 5830 Reigart Road, Fairfield Township, Ohio.
2. At approximately 7 am, I awoke to banging on my door, screams of POLICE.
3. I wrapped a blanket around my naked body, ran to open the door.
4. When they could see me coming through the window of the door, 3 armed plain clothes masked men busted it in.
5. With guns held to my head, they shouted "Who else is in the house?" repeatedly.
6. The entry team was led by Detective Chris Gibson, hereafter referred to as Officer #1.
7. Officer #1 was accompanied by three unidentified plainclothes masked agents, hereafter referred to as Officer #2, Officer #3, and Officer #4.
8. The officers did not provide advance notice or make any prior lawful contact with me before entering the premises.
9. The officers forcibly entered the property.
10. No warrant was presented to me at the time of entry.
11. I was not asked for consent or presented with proper credentials of law enforcement before the officers entered my home.
12. The officers entered with weapons visibly drawn or in tactical posture.
13. I was forced out of my front door in nothing but a blanket, covered in urine from my body's reaction to the door being busted open.
14. Armed officers entered the room of my then 13-year-old son and told him to get out of his home.
15. I was detained in my driveway by uniformed sheriff's deputies
16. Hereafter, known as Deputy #1 and Deputy #2,
17. I observed Deputy #1 and Deputy #2 stationed outside or near the perimeter.
18. When asked Deputy 1 for a warrant, he responded, "I will get it when they're done".
19. Officers 1, 2, and 3 were trashing my home while my son and I watched helplessly.
20. Deputy #1 asked for my name, to which I responded, "Do I have a right to remain silent?
21. Officer #4 then aggressively stated to me, "Look, we aren't here for you, but if you don't give him (deputy #1) your name and social security number, I'm going to arrest you and take you to jail right now".
22. Against my will, under force and threat of loss of freedom, and with unwarranted criminal claims and charges, I complied.
23. Officer #4 got in his vehicle and left.

B

24. The officers proceeded to search and disturb the private areas of my home.
25. One of the masked men denied me a beverage and my cigarettes, saying I would get it when they felt like it.
26. Deputy #2 asked if my boyfriend was the father of my son.
27. Demonstrating they didn't know my boyfriend was white as my son is biracial.
28. This was the first mention of my "boyfriend".
29. Not at any time was my "boyfriend" referred to by name.
30. After approximately 30-40 minutes of searching my home, Chris Gibson then came to identify himself.
31. Only then, after they violated my rights, was I read my Miranda rights.
32. Chris Gibson asked questions about my "boyfriend," never referring to him by name.
33. Chris Gibson then went back to my home.
34. Chris Gibson answered my phone, which I had been denied access to.
35. Officers carried unknown property from my home.
36. Before entering his vehicle to leave, Chris Gibson handed me two pieces of paper and said, "Tell your boyfriend to call me if he doesn't want to get 20 years".
37. No arrests were made.
38. No one presented physical evidence of what they allegedly found.
39. One paper labeled warrant and the other inventory.
40. Immediately upon reviewing the "warrant," I noticed several flaws discrediting the validity of this document.
41. The search caused disorder and destruction of my property.
42. I was not informed of any charges or accusations against me.
43. I was not allowed to contact legal counsel before or during the incident.
44. My child was present and witnessed the event.
45. The conduct of the officers caused psychological trauma to me and my son.
46. I contacted the Butler County Sheriff's Office within days of the incident.
47. The Sheriff's Office confirmed that there was no incident report, only an inventory list of items seized.
48. I have not received any notice of hearing, summons, or complaint relating to this raid.

Based on the public record and affidavit, the following facts are established:

- - The defendant(s) acted under color of law.
- - The defendant(s) acted willfully.
- - The defendant(s) deprived the victim of rights under the Constitution or laws of the United States.

It is further demanded that the named parties provide full accounting of any access, use, sharing, or recordation of my Social Security number or related information, including any system, database, or report in which it was entered, recorded, transmitted, or used. Failure to disclose such use will be interpreted as willful concealment and further violation under

B

established in **Wong Sun v. United States, 371 U.S. 471 (1963).**

5. That the seizure, inventory, or removal of property from the premises absent proper judicial oversight constitutes theft, conversion, and deprivation of rights under **Title 18 U.S.C. §§ 241, 242**, and **Title 42 U.S.C. § 1983**.

6. That as a private American National and mother to the beneficiary affected by the subsequent criminal allegations, I reserve all rights under the **Americans with Disabilities Act, 42 U.S.C. § 12203**, to be free from retaliation for asserting civil rights during interactions with state actors.

7. That repeated failures by agents and departments to respond to lawful correspondence, honor private trust status, and cease trespass on private land posted with no-trespass and foreign law notices, are noted on the public record and have been preserved for federal filing.

Said conduct resulted in grave violations of the United States Constitution, codified in the United States Code.

- - Fourth Amendment – Unlawful search and seizure
- - Fifth Amendment – Compelled self-incrimination and deprivation of liberty without due process
- - Fourteenth Amendment – Equal protection and procedural due process violations
- - 42 U.S.C. § 1983 – Deprivation of rights under color of law
- - 42 U.S.C. § 12203 – Retaliation against individual asserting rights under the ADA
- - 18 U.S.C. § 2441 – War crimes and grave breaches under Common Article 3 of the Geneva Conventions
- - 18 U.S.C. § 241 – Conspiracy against rights
- - 18 U.S.C. § 242 – Deprivation of rights under color of law

This affidavit and its accompanying legal addendum are being served via certified mail to create an official record. You are granted ten (10) calendar days from the date of receipt to rebut this affidavit via affidavit of equal standing. Failure to rebut constitutes legal admission by acquiescence and agreement to all statements contained herein.

Furthermore, any attempt to retaliate, surveil, intimidate, or interfere shall be

B

construed as a direct violation of the Americans with Disabilities Act (42 U.S.C. § 12203) and will be treated as a separate actionable offense.

Notice of Liability and Demand

Wherefore, I pray:

- That you immediately **cease and desist** all further communications, trespass, or attempts to enforce any allegations tied to the raid dated November 7, 2023, or the fabricated evidence therefrom.

- That you provide a full accounting, certified inventory, and signed affidavit as to your role and authority during said incident, including the origin, content, and signatories of the alleged warrant.

- That all involved parties take notice: **failure to rebut this affidavit point-by-point within 10 days** shall constitute tacit agreement, establishing liability under estoppel by acquiescence.

With all rights reserved,

I am,

Lindsey-Morgan; Taylor, non-domestic



Authorized Representative / Affiant

c/o 5830 Reigart Road, Fairfield Township, Ohio [45011]

Without prejudice, UCC 1-308

Notice of Multi-Agency Certified Mailing – Public Record

This list is included as lawful notice that the following agencies and departments have been served certified copies of affidavits and evidence related to the November 7, 2023 incident and its aftermath:

Recipient	Tracking #
Ohio Attorney General Dave Yost	9589 0710 5270 0728 9737 20
Butler County Sheriff Richard K. Jones	9589 0710 5270 0728 9737 51
Universal Postal Union (Switzerland)	9589 0710 5270 0728 9737 90
Butler County Prosecutor Brad Burgess	9589 0710 5270 0728 9737 13
U.S. DOJ - Civil Rights Division	9589 0710 5270 0728 9737 06
U.S. Postal Inspection Service	9589 0710 5270 0728 9736 83

This list is provided for lawful transparency, jurisdictional awareness, and agency-level accountability. Failure to rebut within 10 days constitutes tacit agreement.

Certificate of Mailing B

I, Lindsey-Morgan; Taylor, non-domestic, hereby certify that on the date indicated below, I placed the enclosed affidavit(s) and corresponding cover letters in properly addressed envelopes with prepaid postage affixed, and deposited them with the United States Postal Service via Certified Mail for delivery to the individuals listed below:

#	Recipient	Date Mailed	Tracking #
1	Detective Chris Gibson		
2	Officer #298		
3	Officer #301		
4	John Does 1-10		
5	Sheriff Richard K. Jones		
6	Chief of Police, Hamilton Police Department		

Executed this 4th day of June, 2025.

By:



Lindsey-Morgan; Taylor, non-domestic

Date: Wed, Jun 4, 2025, 20:59
Subject: Written confirmation of case CR2024-06-0909
To: <attorneyaricaunderwood@gmail.com>

Dear Ms. Underwood,

Exhibit C

Thank you for the phone call regarding my case, CR2024-06-0909. You stated that despite the public docket showing the case as closed with a code 14 – Dismissal (Unavailability), and the appeal as dismissed under code 08, the matter is not actually closed and remains open due to the pending Supreme Court appeal.

This creates a serious and material conflict between the public court record and the position currently being represented to me. If the case is not closed, as you state, then I am formally demanding written confirmation from the court itself, not merely a phone explanation. A phone call is not a sufficient legal notice or record.

FCC

From: **William Dunn** <bcdunn513@gmail.com>
Date: Wed, Jun 4, 2025, 21:10
Subject: P.S. to CR2024-06-0909
To: <attorneyaricaunderwood@gmail.com>

Ex C

P.S.

This situation is especially disturbing given that no hearing transcripts have been provided or even made available. As you know, the very foundation of any court proceeding is the creation and preservation of a complete and accurate record. That record is what enables appellate review, protects due process rights, and ensures transparency and accountability.

If the case has been marked "closed" or "dismissed" without an accompanying final judgment or transcript, it raises serious questions about what, if anything, is on the record. If no hearing or order exists to support the dismissal, then this may amount to constructive fraud—falsely altering the procedural posture of the case to defeat oversight by a higher court.

The court cannot have it both ways: it cannot claim the case is still active while the public record says it is closed, and simultaneously fail to produce the very transcripts that would show what occurred and when. This contradicts the entire purpose of maintaining an official court of record and jeopardizes the legitimacy of the proceeding altogether.

require:

1. An official written document or court entry from the Butler County Court of Common Pleas explicitly stating the current legal status of case CR2024-06-0909, including the authority or rule under which the docket was marked "closed" and whether that docket entry stands.
2. If the case was marked closed in error or prematurely, then a corrected docket entry or judicial notice needs to be entered immediately, so that the Ohio Supreme Court is not misled into thinking that no live case or controversy remains.
3. Clarification of the court's jurisdiction to dismiss and close a case during an active appeal, and what rule allows such a dismissal to be reversed or overridden after the fact.

This inconsistency appears capable of obstructing or prejudicing a proper review by the higher court. Therefore, this matter must be resolved on the record, in writing, and filed with the court. I expect this to be addressed with urgency and transparency.

Please confirm that you will obtain written clarification from the court and provide me a copy for my records.

Sincerely,

Ex C

William Charles Dunn

All rights reserved UCC 1-308

Secured Party, Trustee

Living man

From: **William Dunn** <bcdunn513@gmail.com>
Date: Wed, Jun 4, 2025, 21:10
Subject: P.S. to CR2024-06-0909
To: <attorneyaricaunderwood@gmail.com>

Ex C

P.S.

This situation is especially disturbing given that no hearing transcripts have been provided or even made available. As you know, the very foundation of any court proceeding is the creation and preservation of a complete and accurate record. That record is what enables appellate review, protects due process rights, and ensures transparency and accountability.

If the case has been marked "closed" or "dismissed" without an accompanying final judgment or transcript, it raises serious questions about what, if anything, is on the record. If no hearing or order exists to support the dismissal, then this may amount to constructive fraud—falsely altering the procedural posture of the case to defeat oversight by a higher court.

The court cannot have it both ways: it cannot claim the case is still active while the public record says it is closed, and simultaneously fail to produce the very transcripts that would show what occurred and when. This contradicts the entire purpose of maintaining an official court of record and jeopardizes the legitimacy of the proceeding altogether.

Please confirm whether any transcripts exist, and if so, who is in possession of them. If not, that itself may need to be elevated to the Supreme Court as an additional due process violation.

From: attorneyaricaunderwood@gmail.com <attorneyaricaunderwood@gmail.com>
Date: Thu, Aug 21, 2025, 11:17
Subject: Re: Formal Notice – Unlawful Proceedings, Breach of Duty, and Personal Liability
To: William Dunn <bcdunn513@gmail.com>

Ex C & D

William -

Your next court date is August 28 at 1 p.m not today. I have left a message as well as sent a text message to try and contact you.

Arica

Arica L. Underwood
Attorney at Law
Law Office of Arica L. Underwood, LLC
1831 West Galbraith Road
Cincinnati, Ohio 45239
Phone: 513-772-6878
Fax: 513-586-1080
Cell: 513-557-8141

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: The information in this e-mail, including any attachments, is for the sole use of the intended

ecipient(s), and may contain confidential and legally privileged communications. If you are not the intended recipient, any disclosure, copying, distribution or use of the contents of this communication is strictly prohibited and may be unlawful, and could subject the unlawful user to civil and criminal penalties. Any unintended receipt should be reported to this sender immediately and all copies returned, deleted, and destroyed. TO OUR CLIENTS: Any dissemination of this communication to third-parties may be a waiver of the attorney-client privilege.

On Tuesday, August 19, 2025 at 12:22:10 AM EDT, William Dunn <bcdunn513@gmail.com> wrote:

Exhibit D & C

Sidney,

I am adding you to this thread because your liability was already included in the notice served on Arika on August 14th, though appears she did not share it with you. For context:

- On June 4th, Arika was directly notified that the record shows this case number as closed with final closure codes. Closing the case to subvert the appeal process constitutes fraud and manipulation of the record.
- The docket still reflects these Final Entry Dismissal codes, meaning the disposition of this case remains a matter of record.
- On August 11th, while at pretrial services, your colleague informed Mr. Dunn that he had court set for August 21st.
- On August 14th, that overture was formally rejected.
- On August 15th, the Court vacated the plea setting. Despite that, your position has since been used as a decoy to attempt to lure Mr. Dunn back into jurisdiction and toward self-incrimination under the form of a plea.

Any further action taken against Mr. Dunn by you after this notice is done so knowingly and willingly, with personal liability already established and attached. This is not consent, not authority, and not lawful cause.

Respectfully,

William-Charles; Dunn

Footnotes

1. "Decoy."

From Black's Law Dictionary: "To inveigle, entice, tempt, or lure; as, to decoy a person within the jurisdiction of a court so that he may be served... or ... decoy one away from his place of residence ... through fraud, trick, or temptation, but excluding force."

2. "Final Dismissal – Disposition Code 14."

This reflects a formal, final closure entry in the docketing system, preventing lawful continuation absent reversal by proper appellate process.

3. "Vacate."

To vacate a judgment or order is to set it aside or annul it, returning the matter to its prior status. Once vacated, no lawful plea setting remains in effect.

On Thu, Aug 14, 2025 at 9:33 AM William Dunn <bcdunn513@gmail.com> wrote:

> Erika,
>
> This is to place you on notice that the actions recently taken to place William-Charles; Dunn back on the docket were unlawful and void ab initio. No lawful summons, written order, or due process was served. Instead, a verbal statement from you — that you "ran into the prosecutor" who said he wanted him back on the docket — was used as the basis for a setting.
>
> This is not consent, authority, or lawful cause.

You are now on record for the following violations:

1. Fraud and manipulation of the record – Using "final dismissal" docket codes to close the case in order to subvert the Appeals Court process, then attempting to reopen without jurisdiction.

2. Fruit from the poisonous tree – All further proceedings are tainted, and any evidence, orders, or warrants derived therefrom are void.

3. Dishonor of lawful instruments – Previous properly tendered bonds and documents were rejected without cause.

4. Kidnapping / unlawful seizure of person – Attempting to compel appearance without lawful process.

5. Jones Act and seaman protections – You are acting in an administrative capacity over a private estate without lawful commission, equivalent to unlawful maritime salvage.

6. Involuntary servitude – Attempting to compel compliance, labor, or attendance under threat, duress, or coercion.

7. Collection of bodily fluids without cause – Unlawfully conditioning pretrial liberty upon medical or bodily extractions.

8. Acting under color of law without authority – Violating established constitutional, statutory, and treaty obligations.

9. Violation of the Laws of War – Engaging in acts that breach lawful trust and contractual bounds under the applicable law of armed conflict.

10. Violation of UCC and constitutional timelines – It has been over one (1) year since the initial indictment with no lawful progression to trial. This violates commercial timeliness under the Uniform Commercial Code as well as constitutional guarantees to a speedy trial.

11. Right against self-incrimination – Repeated attempts to force a plea and compel testimony against himself, in violation of the Fifth Amendment and related protections.

Your actions, along with any state actor or agent involved, are rejected in all forms. Any continued pursuit will result in personal and private liability, enforceable without further notice.

Since You are operating on behalf of the state working with colleagues like the prosecutor judge and pre-trial services. The state is fully put on notice via this email.

C & D

Wager: Up the Ante

Memo: Hazardous inconvenience

William-Charles; Dunn

By: Lindsey-Morgan:Taylor Tutor, Champion, Secured Party, Private Citizen, Ambassador of Jesus Christ, Mother, Daughter, Living Woman, Non-Domestic

P.S. on a personal human to human level is brokering lives of your brothers and sisters where you want to be when Jesus comes back?

Motion_to_Quash_Capias_One_Page

To: dswlawoffices@yahoo.com <dswlawoffices@yahoo.com>, William Dunn <bcdunn513@gmail.com>

Please file immediately

MOTION TO QUASH CAPIAS
FOR IMPROPER SERVICE AND LACK OF DUE PROCESS

Defendant, by and through counsel, respectfully moves this Court to quash the outstanding capias. The Court's own docket reflects procedural defects that preclude enforcement through arrest.

IMPROPER SERVICE

Notice was sent by ordinary mail only. The record contains no certified mail, personal service, or acknowledgment of receipt. Ordinary mail is insufficient where liberty interests are implicated.

DISMISSAL AND REOPENING DEFECT

The docket reflects a prior dismissal followed by arrest-related entries without a clearly documented lawful reopening accompanied by proper service.

PREMATURE CAPIAS

The request for a capias predates any properly served appearance order and predates scheduling of a plea or trial setting. A failure-to-appear cannot occur absent proper notice and compulsion.

REQUESTED RELIEF

Defendant requests that the Court: (1) Quash the capias; (2) Vacate any arrest authority arising therefrom; and (3) Require future notices be served by certified mail or personal service.

This motion raises no argument as to the merits of the underlying case and is based solely on docketed procedural defects.

Motion Attached in Email. to dswlawoffices@yahoo.com

COURTESY CORRESPONDENCE & RECORD CLARIFICATION

Packet ID: LMT-RC-001

Date: 1-8-26

EXHIBIT A
Cover Letter to State-Designated Counsel
David Washington

Dear Mr. Washington,

I am writing in the spirit of courtesy, transparency, and professional respect following your designation as counsel. Appointments of this nature are often made with limited transfer of background materials, and out of good faith I wish to ensure you have full factual and procedural context.

Enclosed are sworn affidavits, prior correspondence with the Public Defender's Office, communications with Pretrial Services, and relevant procedural records. These are provided so that any actions taken going forward may be undertaken **knowingly**, with full visibility into the existing record rather than by administrative assumption.

This correspondence is non-adversarial and intended solely to support clarity, accuracy, and integrity of process. Thank you for your time and professional attention.

Respectfully,

R. Lindsey-Morgan;Taylor

Exhibit E

Butler County
Area II Court
OCT 01 2025
Filed

NOTICE OF CONTINUING TRESPASS, RETALIATION, AND ENTRAPMENT

With Demand for Immediate Dismissal, Return of Property, and Judicial Recognition of Federal Rights

In the Butler County Area II Court
Case No.: [If Any]

Comes Now, I, R. Lindsey-Morgan;Taylor, Secured Party, Private Citizen, lawful woman and Claimant, and give formal Notice and place on the record the following facts and objections:

I. Prior Notices and Objections on Record

α. I issued a Formal Notice of Liability on March 24, 2025, to Butler County Sheriff's Office, Fairfield Township Police, and Deputy Dog Warden Abigail Forkner.

β. I cited trespass, due process violations, copyright infringement, harassment, and color-of-law violations.

γ. I filed and served an Affidavit of Objection and Notice of Federal Suit on March 28, 2025 (executed April 1, 2025).

δ. I explicitly rejected jurisdiction of this Court and placed all parties on notice of pending federal claims.

ε. I filed a Notice for Judicial Notice under FRE 201(d) and a Public Affidavit of Truth.

ζ. I preserved my objections and notices in the permanent public record, including publication on Archive.org.

II. September 19, 2025 – Unlawful Raid, Search, and Seizure

α. Masked men and uniformed officers entered my residence at 5830 Reigart Road.

β. Officers seized property, devices, and private documents.

γ. I and my two children, including an infant, were detained for over one hour.

δ. No lawful cause, warrant, or verified complaint was produced.

ε. The warrant cited O.R.C. 2925.03 and 2925.11 but lacked valid probable cause.

ζ. The raid constituted abuse of process in retaliation for my prior filings and objections.

η. The raid violated my Fourth Amendment right to be secure.

α. Dismiss all charges and summonses issued against me.

β. Order the immediate return of all property and devices unlawfully seized.

γ. Acknowledge in writing that no further action will be taken absent verified complaint, lawful warrant, and due process consistent with the U.S. Constitution.

Reservation of Rights

This Notice is made without prejudice and with full reservation of all rights, remedies, and defenses under UCC § 1-308 and all applicable law. Nothing herein shall be construed as consent to jurisdiction or contract.

Respectfully submitted this 1st day of October, 2025.

By: _____

R. Lindsey-Morgan;Taylor
Secured Party / Private Citizen / Claimant

E

θ. The raid violated my Fourteenth Amendment right to due process.

III. Additional Officers and Conduct on September 19, 2025

α. Officer Brendan Pottohoff (#687) and Officer Miller arrived at my property in a vehicle bearing a corporate logo and wearing corporate-issued police costumes.

β. Officer Miller forcibly pulled on my door, threatening to enter without lawful cause.

γ. Under duress, I agreed to step outside.

δ. When I attempted to re-enter to retrieve my phone, Officer Miller grabbed my arm and prevented me from retrieving it.

ε. I was deprived of my means of communication and evidence preservation.

ζ. Both officers had been previously notified through their employer of the absence of lawful jurisdiction and the liabilities attached to trespass, harassment, and color-of-law violations.

η. That night, I verbally informed both officers that they were committing crimes against me.

θ. I notified them I would be adding them to the record for future claims.

ι. This incident constituted trespass.

κ. This incident constituted assault.

λ. This incident constituted deprivation of rights under color of law.

μ. This incident constituted retaliation after lawful notice.

IV. Entrapment and Retaliation via Court Summons

α. Following this raid, I was issued another Summons to Appear on October 25, 2025, for alleged violations under O.R.C. 955.21.

β. This constituted entrapment, as the State attempted to force my physical presence in a forum whose jurisdiction I had lawfully challenged.

γ. I had previously returned such citations marked 'No Contract.'

δ. I had responded to the clerk's summons by certified mail.

ε. The State now holds that certified proof of mailing in custody after the raid.

ζ. I reserve the right to provide certified proof of mailing at a later date.

V. Wherefore, I Pray

Butler County
Area II Court
OCT 01 2025
Filed

PUBLIC NOTICE

The record is published: truth stands, fraud falls, and light exposes darkness.

"It is for freedom that Christ has set us free. Stand firm, then, and do not let yourselves be burdened again by a yoke of slavery." — Galatians 5:1

"He canceled the record of the charges against us and took it away by nailing it to the cross." — Colossians 2:14

Truth is self-authenticating.

©

By: R. Lindsey-Morgan;Taylor

Date: 9-25-25

NOTICE OF DISHONOR AND REJECTION OF PRESENTMENT

Butler County
Area II Court
OCT 01 2025
Filed

Without Prejudice – UCC 1-308

I. Dishonor

α. I, R. Lindsey-Morgan;Taylor, give notice that the instrument styled as a "Summons" dated September 19, 2025, signed by Officer Pottoff #687, is dishonored and rejected.

II. Defects

α. The statement "you did unlawfully" is a false presumption.

β. No verified complaint, sworn affidavit of loss, or due process accompanies it.

γ. The instrument is null, void, and without contract.

III. Liability

α. Any further attempt to enforce this dishonored instrument will be deemed willful trespass, fraud, and retaliation under color of law.

β. All actors will be subject to claims under 18 U.S.C. §§ 241, 242 and 42 U.S.C. § 1983.

This rejection is entered into the record without prejudice and with full reservation of all rights.

Respectfully submitted this 1st day of October, 2025.



By: _____

R. Lindsey-Morgan;Taylor
Secured Party / Private Citizen

Butler County
Area II Court
OCT 01 2025

HEARING STATEMENT FOR THE RECORD

I. Appearance

α. I, R. Lindsey-Morgan;Taylor, Secured Party and Private Citizen, appear today only under duress and coercion to prevent further harm.

II. Record

α. The summons issued in this matter has been dishonored and rejected.

β. No valid contract, verified complaint, or lawful cause for action exists.

γ. I move the Court to take judicial notice that this matter has been dishonored and rejected, and for the record this matter is closed.

III. Reservation

α. I do not consent.

β. I do not enter any plea.

γ. I reserve all rights under UCC 1-308 and all applicable law.

Respectfully submitted this 1st day of October, 2025.



By: _____

R. Lindsey-Morgan;Taylor
Secured Party / Private Citizen

Butler County
Area II Court
OCT 01 2025
Filed

PUBLIC NOTICE AND DECLARATION

R. Lindsey-Morgan;Taylor

The record is published: truth stands, fraud falls, and light exposes darkness.

"It is for freedom that Christ has set us free. Stand firm, then, and do not let yourselves be burdened again by a yoke of slavery." — Galatians 5:1

"He canceled the record of the charges against us and took it away by nailing it to the cross." — Colossians 2:14

All affidavits published here in my name are true, correct, and unrebutted. Each has already been served by certified mail; this archive preserves that truth in the public record. Published openly for three days or more, unrebutted, these affidavits stand as fact and cannot be denied.

My family has been subjected to a staged corporate event designed to abuse private trust. The BAR operates only under a presumed grant of authority to direct currency and commerce—fraudulent impersonation of lawful authority. No such license exists. If any person or entity claims otherwise, let them bring forth the specific license or grant of authority they rely upon.

The provider of my household is being held in involuntary servitude under color of law, an act intended to drain our family' s resources and weaken our ability to defend ourselves.

As it is written:
- "For nothing is hidden that will not be disclosed, nor is anything secret that will not become known and come to light" — Luke 8:17
- "Then you will know the truth, and the truth will set you free" — John 8:32

Within hours of filing my Habeas Corpus and Capias Recall, a warrant was issued with no named crime, no location, and no person identified. Under color of law, my home was entered, my devices seized—amounting to theft and denial of access to my entire online identity—and my ability to draft and bear arms instruments restricted.

I am a single mother of two sons, one still an infant. I publish this record so the truth stands in the open for all to see.

Truth is self-authenticating.



9/30/2025

E

Butler County
Area II Court
OCT 01 2025

COVER NOTICE FOR FILING

To the Clerk of Court, Judge, and all relevant parties:

Enclosed is my Hearing Statement for the Record together with supporting documents (Final Signed Record). These materials are submitted under protest and duress, as no valid summons or case number has been provided on the tickets in question. For the record, the instruments tendered by Officer Pottoff #687 and others have been dishonored and rejected. I move the Court to take judicial notice that no lawful cause of action exists and that this matter is closed.

Respectfully submitted,

R. Lindsey-Morgan;Taylor
Secured Party / Private Citizen

No Case # No Name # No subject Matter #

SEARCH WARRANT

IN THE MATTER OF SEARCH OF: 5830 Reigart Road, Fairfield Township, OH, 45011.

STATE OF OHIO, BUTLER COUNTY, SS:

To The Butler County Sheriff, Deputy/Agent Matt Murphy, and all other Law Enforcement Officers:

Whereas, there appeared personally before me one Deputy/Agent Matt Murphy and swore to the facts set forth in an Affidavit on file with the Court in this matter.

Whereas, I find from said Affidavit that there is probable cause to believe:

I. **That in the Township of Fairfield, Butler County, Ohio, the following criminal offense(s) have occurred:** occur(d) syns. arise / come about, emerge

O.R.C	**2925.03**	**Trafficking in Drugs**
O.R.C	**2925.11**	**Possession of Controlled Substances**

Not synonyms w/ commit / commited which

II. **That the following described items of property are connected with the commission of said offense(s):** involves action set into motion by Actio

Fentanyl, cocaine, methamphetamine, or their derivatives, along with any other illegal drugs or drug related paraphernalia. U.S. currency proceeds and records of financial holdings evidencing the obtaining, expenditure or concealment of assets connected with the sale of illegal drugs, along with ledgers, and other documents. Cellular telephones, computers, computer files, photographs and audio/video recordings showing records of illegal drug sales. Barricades and surveillance devices used for fortification and/or protection. Documents showing possessory interest in **5830 Reigart Road, Fairfield Township, OH, 45011**. All weapons or any other property associated with the sale of drugs. Any safes, security boxes, storage rooms, vehicles or outbuildings, persons entering, exiting, present or associated with or upon the curtilage of said apartment. Your affiant requests authorization to execute the warrant during the daytime or **nighttime** for officer safety.

III. **That the said items of property are concealed either:**

A. **Upon the person(s) of:**

1. Not Applicable.

B. **And/or at the following place(s):**

5830 Reigart Road, Fairfield Township, OH, 45011. The residence is a single-family dwelling with a mailbox affixed at the end of the driveway bearing the numbers "**5830**". It is a single-story residence constructed of aluminum and vinyl. The siding is white and it has a shingled roof, covered porch, and brick chimney. The Butler County Auditor identifies 5830 Reigart Road, Fairfield Township, OH, 45011 with Parcel ID:

E

A0300050000009. The Affiant can identify on site. To include any safes, security boxes, storage rooms, vehicles or outbuildings, persons entering, exiting, present or associated with or upon the curtilage of said residence, and there diligently search for and seize certain goods and chattels or articles.

NOW, THEREFORE, you are hereby **COMMANDED**, in the name of the State of Ohio, with necessary and proper assistance, within three days, to enter into the place(s) described in Paragraph III, (B) above, and there diligently search for the property described in Paragraph II above. Within the territorial jurisdiction of this Court, you are authorized to search the person(s) described in Paragraph III, (A1) above for the items of property described in Paragraph II above. Of this Warrant make due return to the undersigned or clerk of court, accompanied by an inventory of any property seized.

All property seized pursuant to this Warrant shall be forthwith delivered into the custody of the Police Department Evidence Custodian to be held as evidence or until further Order of this Court.

The facts upon which the Affiant relies and bases his/her belief that said items of property and things are so unlawfully concealed are contained in Paragraph IV of the Affidavit, which is filed in support of this Warrant and is incorporated herein.

Electronically Signed

2025-09-19 6:28 pm EDT

DATE/TIME

JUDGE
Butler County Court of Common Pleas

5

FILED
MARY L. SWAIN
BUTLER COUNTY
CLERK OF COURTS
01/08/2026 02:53 PM
CR 2024 06 0909

Court of Common Pleas
Butler County, Ohio

State of Ohio
-vs-
WILLIAM DUNN

Case #: CR 2024 06 0909

Judge: Muench-McElfresh

Pretrial Order

****

This matter came before this Court on. January 08, 2026.
The following orders and deadlines were established, and shall be the order of the Court.
Upon request of STATE / DEFENSE / JOINT.

_____ **PLEA** or **TRIAL SETTING** is set for _____ at _____

_____ **FINAL PRETRIAL HEARING** is set for _____ at _____

_____ **DISPOSITION** is set for _____ at _____

__X__ **TRIAL** is to commence on 2-23-26 at 9:00am

_____ **MOTION** _____

shall be filed by _____

and shall be heard on _____ at _____

_____ **PROBATION VIOLATION** is set for _____ at _____

_____ **OTHER HEARING** is set for _____ at _____

BOND IS REVOKED/SET _____

CAPIAS TO ISSUE _____

SO ORDERED:

Defense Counsel

Judge

Defendant

Prosecutor

EXHIBIT F

TIMELINE SUMMARY (INFORMATIONAL)

Packet ID: LMT-RC-001

Date: 1-8-26

Nov 7, 2023: Initial law enforcement raid and seizure actions giving rise to ongoing proceedings.

Apr 2024: Original incident later referenced in enforcement actions; no direct contact involving dogs.

2024 (pretrial period): Defendant placed on pretrial supervision, including ankle monitor; full compliance maintained.

2024: Court docket reflected case closed; Defendant advised by court representatives accordingly.

Subsequent period: Administrative adjudication later transmitted by ordinary mail.

After adjudication: Defendant taken into custody; case reflected as reopened on docket.

Post-custody: Bail set at $500,000 following reopening.

Second enforcement action: Second raid conducted; dog-related citations issued.

Immediately following second raid: Emergency filing submitted addressing dog-related citations and enforcement conduct.

Thereafter: Motion to Quash Capias prepared and transmitted via email to counsel; proof of transmission retained.

Court appearance (date per docket): Trial date set while Motion to Quash Capias remained unresolved; issue raised in open court.

Public Defender period: Conflicts and record defects identified; Public Defender placed on knowing notice.

Thereafter: Public Defender recused; State designated private counsel.

Jan 8, 2026: Courtesy record packet assembled and transmitted to state-designated counsel; public archival record prepared.

This timeline is provided solely for reference to assist efficient review of the record. It is informational only and does not argue facts or legal positions.

EXHIBIT G

Wayback Machine Publication Description (Public Archive)

Packet ID: LMT-RC-001

Date: 1-8-26

This exhibit documents the public archival description associated with this packet. It is placed last to reflect archival preservation and timestamping of the record.

EXHIBIT E1

Procedural Filing — Motion to Quash Capias

Packet ID: LMT-RC-001

Date: 1-8-26

This exhibit contains the Motion to Quash Capias and proof of transmission. The motion was prepared and transmitted via email to counsel, with documentation confirming delivery. This cover page is provided for record clarity only and does not argue the merits of the filing.

Motion_to_Quash_Capias_One_Page

To: dswlawoffices@yahoo.com <dswlawoffices@yahoo.com>, William Dunn <bcdunn513@gmail.com>

Please file immediately

MOTION TO QUASH CAPIAS
FOR IMPROPER SERVICE AND LACK OF DUE PROCESS

Defendant, by and through counsel, respectfully moves this Court to quash the outstanding capias. The Court's own docket reflects procedural defects that preclude enforcement through arrest.

IMPROPER SERVICE

Notice was sent by ordinary mail only. The record contains no certified mail, personal service, or acknowledgment of receipt. Ordinary mail is insufficient where liberty interests are implicated.

DISMISSAL AND REOPENING DEFECT

The docket reflects a prior dismissal followed by arrest-related entries without a clearly documented lawful reopening accompanied by proper service.

PREMATURE CAPIAS

The request for a capias predates any properly served appearance order and predates scheduling of a plea or trial setting. A failure-to-appear cannot occur absent proper notice and compulsion.

REQUESTED RELIEF

Defendant requests that the Court: (1) Quash the capias; (2) Vacate any arrest authority arising therefrom; and (3) Require future notices be served by certified mail or personal service.

This motion raises no argument as to the merits of the underlying case and is based solely on docketed procedural defects.

Motion Attached in Email. to dswlawoffices@yahoo.com